

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/608,818	Applicant(s) KARJALA ET AL.	
	Examiner CHINWENDU C. OKORONKWO	Art Unit 2136	

All Participants:

(1) WAYNE PORTER.

(2) CHINWENDU C. OKORONKWO.

Date of Interview: 3 June 2008

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Double Patenting

Claims discussed:

1-14

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

In view of Applicant remarks during the telephone interview of June 3, 2008 and after reconsideration of the Double Patenting rejection of claims 1-14 in the Office Action dated 07/05/2007, the Examiner withdraws the Double Patenting Rejection.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)